UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/784,969	02/25/2004	Hans-Walter Swidersky	37110.50643D1	8998
	23911 7590 03/29/2007 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
				JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1725	
_				·	
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		NTHS	03/29/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/784,969	SWIDERSKY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Johnson	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) and the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) and the provisions of the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) and the p	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  by be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2007.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Tr	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application	١.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/128517.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application			

# DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the instant case, applicant's requirement that the cumulative particle volume distribution is "bounded" by curves 1 and 2 of figure 10 is indefinite because figure 10 is not bound (or closed off) at either the upper or lower extremities.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,989,775 (Shimajiri). Shimajiri teaches applying a flux having a cumulative particle volume distribution lying within the area bounded by Curves 1 and 2 of FIG. 10 to the components to be joined, wherein said flux is applied dry and electrostatically charged, and thereafter heating the components to braze them together (col. 2, ll. 30-68); wherein said flux has a particle volume

Art Unit: 1725

distribution lying entirely within the area bounded by Curves 1 and 2 of FIG. 11 (col. 2, 1l. 30-68); wherein said flux is a potassium fluoroaluminate flux (col. 2, 1l. 30-68).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Shimajiri does not teach the claim 1 limitation that the cumulative particle volume distribution [lies] within the area bounded by curves 1 and 2 of figure 10. The examiner disagrees. The examiner interprets Shimajiri to teach the flux can be pulverized, inter alia, to have all particles in the size of 80um. Since all particles in the size of 80um are bound between curves 1 and 2 of figure 10, it is the examiner's position that Shimajiri meets the claimed limitation.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725